

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,068 08/22/2003		3/22/2003	Louis C. Argenta	0101 P02977US1	9699	
110	7590	09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
•	•	HERRELL & SK	PHILOGEN	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
1601 MARK SUITE 2400		ET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	19103-2307	3732			
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7					
Office Action Command	10/647,068	ARGENTA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Pedro Philogene	3732						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to the same period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	· ·					
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subjected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement							
,	olodion requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	0.4.404/4/					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,					
			102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).						
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			tage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
•		•						
Attachment(s)	∧ □ •	(DTO 140)	•					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/03. 	. 4)	ate	152)					

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/227,161. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is clear that all the elements of claims 1-13 of the '161 application, are to be found in claims 1-13 of '068 application. The difference between these two sets of claims lies in the fact that the claims of the '161 application includes many more elements and is thus much more specific. Thus the invention of claims 1-13 of the '068 application is in effect a "species of the "generic" invention of claims 1-13 of the '161 application. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See in re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since, claims 1-13 of the '161 application are anticipated by claims 1-13 of

the '068 application, they are not patentably distinct from claims 1-13 of the '068 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barbieri (3,874,387).

With respect to claim 4 and 13, Barbieri disclose a method of treating a bone defect comprising the steps of applying a reduced pressure to the bone defect; as set forth in column 1, lines 45-50; and, maintaining the reduced pressure until the bone defect has progressed toward a selected stage of healing, the selected stage of healing including formation of neo-osteoid tissue; as set forth in column 1, lines 38-50; column 3, lines 7-

20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/647,068

Art Unit: 3732

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barbieri (3,874,387) in view of Argenta et al. (5,636,643).

With respect to claims 1-13, Barbieri disclose a method for administering, applying, facilitating, treating and healing a reduced pressure treatment to a damaged bone tissue. However, it is noted that Barbieri did not teach the steps of providing an impermeable cover adapted to enclose the damaged bone tissue and adapted to maintain reduced pressure at the site of the damaged bone tissue; providing a seal adapted to seal the cover to tissue surrounding the damaged bone tissue; providing reduced pressure supply means for connection to a source of suction, the reduced pressure supply means cooperating with the cover to supply the reduced pressure beneath the cover, providing a screen adapted to prevent contact between the cover and the damaged bone tissue, the screen being located between the damaged bone tissue and the cover, applying a reduced pressure under the cover to the damaged bone tissue and maintaining the reduced pressure until new bone tissue has grown at the damaged bone tissue to provide a selected stages of healing; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Argenta et al evidences the use of wound treatment employing reduced pressure using method steps as set forth above to promote tissue migration and thus facilitate in-growth, thereby closure of the wound.

Therefore, given the teaching Argenta et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the method steps of Barbieri with the method steps; as taught by Argenta et al to provide a bone

Art Unit: 3732

fracture treatment employing reduced pressure to promote tissue migration and thus induce venous stasis at the fracture site, thereby closure or healing of the bone.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4,834,110	5-1989	Richard
4,382,441	5-1983	Svedman

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,068

Art Unit: 3732

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene September 17, 2004

PEDRO PHILOGENE